

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	Page 1 of 2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 08/25/2006	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. See Lines	5. PROJECT NO. <i>(If applicable)</i>		
6. ISSUED BY AO801 Office of Finance and Procurement, Procurement Division 359 Ford HOB Washington, DC 20515		CODE AO801	7. ADMINISTERED BY <i>(If other than Item 6)</i> CODE		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR <i>(No., street, county, State and Zip Code)</i>			(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. OPR06000096	
			(X)	9B. DATED <i>(SEE ITEM 11)</i> 08/10/2006	
				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED <i>(SEE ITEM 13)</i>	
CODE		FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended, ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. **FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.** If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.

IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14.
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER <i>(Specify type of modification and authority)</i>

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION *(Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*

1. Delete Clause F.5 (HC.6.009) Payment for Non-Performance (duplicates clause H.8 of same title).
2. Provide answers to questions submitted through 8/25/06 (one page attached).
3. Extend deadline for receipt of proposals to 9/14/06.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER <i>(Type or print)</i>		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER <i>(Type or print)</i> Jim Caskey	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES BY _____	16C. DATE SIGNED 08/25/2006
<i>(Signature of person authorized to sign)</i>		<i>(Signature of Contracting Officer)</i>	

AMENDMENT 1
U.S. House of Representatives
RFP: OPR06000096
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
August 25, 2006

1. How long has the CAO's PMO been using the ProSight application?

The CAO has been utilizing ProSight Portfolios since 2004. We conducted a pilot of the system and process with a small portfolio of strategic projects. In 2005 we initiated an IT Capital Planning process utilizing this tool formally in production. This year we established a development/test environment and a new production environment and pushed new production configuration and code including the .Net programs.

2. To better understand the scope of work effort involved can you please provide us with the following? Over the last two years what is the average number of active projects in the portfolio and their average dollar value?

Currently the CAO has an open project portfolio consisting of 28 projects with a total budgeted value of \$12M including operational and implementation costs. Many of these projects have been open for more than one fiscal year. Prior to instituting this formal process CAO-wide, the CAO did not have a project portfolio.

3. Is there established formalized investment governance?

Yes. The CAO has newly established a two-tiered investment review process that conducts the entire CPIC life cycle.

4. Who is the incumbent?

This is a new procurement to implement/sustain a recently-developed project/portfolio management governance process. (See also #8)

5. How many government personnel support the CAO's PMO?

The PMO is minimally supported by House personnel. At this time, there are no full-time government personnel providing direct support to the PMO.

6. Please confirm that this solicitation is full and open competition (not set aside).

This is a full-and-open competition; the House does not participate in preference programs; all vendors compete equally.

7. Does the GOVT have a preference for a large business with unlimited capacity?

The House has no preference, but note that capacity to perform (corporate capability) is a proposal evaluation factor.

8. Is there an incumbent that has been providing these services? If so, can you provide the Company name?

A number of firms have been providing these services piecemeal during development of the new PMO and governance process.

9. Can you provide a list of Companies that have expressed interest in this RFP?

No.

10. RFQ page 17, Section L.1(7): "Corporate experience and qualifications section should not exceed five pages." Five pages for ten references to include a description of the engagement, solution and contact information is challenging. Can the House consider expanding the number of pages (preferable) or reducing the number of references?

"Should" was suggested in the interest of brevity; consider twenty pages a firm limitation.